PRESERVED

App. F. IX-4

Previous References. 1944 (January)

File No. 110-44

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Later References.
January 120-45-1-2
" 151-45-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for December 1944.

SUBJECT

Sale of Shendanadi Village to Ramanad District Mortgaged to Sri Srinivasa Iyengar.

No. R. 3943. dated 7-12-1944.

To the Collector of Madura.

Sanction to Sell for Rs 10,000/-

Sale of Shendanadi Village, Ramanad District, mortgaged to Sri Sringeri Mutt. 1902 (illegible)

Sl No.

[1] Letter No. M 2-C 385/44-45, dated the 8th November 1944.

From the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, recommending, in the circumstances report by the Officer in Charge of the Mutt at Sringeri, sanction to sell the Village of Shendanadi in Ramanad District, one of the properties belonging to the late Sri Subramanya Iyer, Hony. Agent of the Mutt properties in Madhura Division, which were mortgaged for Rs. 14,000, to the Sri Mutt, on account of the amounts due from him to the Mutt.

(1) The facts of the case are explained in detail in the report of the Officer in Charge of the Mutt (dated the 18th October 1944) to the M.C. and is for perusal.

(2) It is seen that besides the sum of Rs. 14,000/-, for which the marginally noted immoveable properties were mortgaged to the Mutt, amounts aggregating Rs 13,273/- are due to the institution from the late Agent of the Mutt properties in Madhura Division

1. Shendanadi Village, Ramanad Distt.
2. 2 houses,
3. 2 Vacant sites } in Thirupathur
4. One Tope

(3) The latter dues are time-barred and of the properties mortgaged only 1 (i.e. Shendanadi) is free from encumbrances. This village is now proposed to be sold for Rs 10,000/-, the sale being a private transaction, as the present Agent is not in favour of selling the village in auction.

(4) The recommendation of the M.C. is for orders.

13/11/44 Rs 14/11 (5) The Mahakumar's proposal to allow the sale of the Village for Rs 10,000/- may be allowed, the sale proceeds should be remitted for the mutt.

Sri. 15/11

(6) Rs 700) 17/11

4058 (F&C.S.) 17-11-44

(7)

Sale of Shendamadi Village in Ramanad District, mortgaged to Sri Sringeri Mutt

1902/R.

Mysore File No IIC R)44-45 Page 2.

(8) Draft Subd.

5/12/44 (9)

Issued No. R. 3943, dated the 7th December 1944 [2]

To the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore,

Conveys sanction to [illegible] for Rs. 10,000/- the village of Shendamadi mortgaged to the Mutt, the amount being secured for the institution.

B. Record

12/12/44

R 1902

No. M 3-588/44-45

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 8th November ....1944.

110-44-1,

FROM Rajasevaprasakta
I. Seshadri, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

To

K. Subba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Sri Sringeri Mutt - orders sought for about the sale of Sendanadi Village, in Ramnad District, mortgaged to the Mutt.

---

(1) I have the honor to state that the Officer in-Charge of Sri Sringeri Mutt has reported that the late Mr. S. Subramanya Iyer, who was the Hon. Agent of the Mutt properties, in Madura Division, during the management of the mutt by the mutt authorities, has executed a mortgage deed dated 22nd June 1934, for a sum of Rs 14,000 due to the mutt, mortgaging one Dharmasan Village Sendanadi, two houses and certain other properties at Tirupathur. Except the Sendanadi Village, the other properties mortgaged, with possession, according to the deed, are reported to be not in actual possession of the mutt. Sendanadi Village is reported to have been leased out by the mutt for a period of 7 years from 1941, subject to payment of an annual gutta of Rs 200 to the mutt. It is reported that the lease of the village is also subject to the condition that the lease would terminate automatically, if the mortgage is redeemed before the expiry of the period of lease, in 1948.

(2) Besides the sum of Rs 14,000 covered by the mortgage deed, amount to the extent of Rs 13,273 due to the mutt by late Mr. Subrahmanya Iyer, are reported to have not been covered by any document, and have become time-barred. The Officer in-Charge has stated that the question of writing off these irrecoverable amounts was under consideration, previously, and that this question may lie over, till the sale of the properties covered by the mortgage deed and the loan question are settled.

(3) As regards the Sendanadi Village it is reported that Mr. P. S. Nagaswamy Iyer, Advocate, Madura, (who is the brother-in-law of late Mr. Subrahmanya Iyer) has proposed to sell away the village for Rs 10,000 offered by some

gentleman. The present Hon. Agent of the Mutt properties, in adura Division, has opined that the village may be disposed of, as proposed by Mr. Nagaswamy Iyer. It is reported that the original offer for the village was only Rs 4,000, and that the present offer of Rs 10,000 is on account of the war. It is also reported that Mr. Nagaswamy Iyer is not in favour of selling the other properties at Tirupathur, which have been mortgaged to the mutt, and that he is not inclined to sell Sendanadi village in public auction, perhaps, due to the fact that there are some decrees against Subrahmanya Iyer, still pending. The Officer in-Charge has stated, further, that, during his tour in Southern India, last year, he had a personal discussion on the subject, with the Hon. Agent of the mutt, and also with Mr. Nagaswamy Iyer, and that he agrees with the Hon. Agent's suggestion that Sendanadi Village may be disposed of, as proposed by Mr. Nagaswamy Iyer. The views of His Holiness, on the subject, could not be obtained, owing to his ill-health.

(4) In these circumstances, I request you to be so good as to obtain orders of Government, on the proposal, to sell away Sendanadi Village for Rs 10,000, as suggested by the Hon.Agent, and agreed to by the Officer in-Charge.

(5) The Hon. Agent of the Mutt has suggested also, that the question of sale of the other properties at Tirupathur, and grant of certain concessions to the family of late Mr. Subrahmanya Iyer, as prayed for by Mr. Nagaswamy Iyer, may lie over until such date as His Holiness can decide the matter, and that, in the meanwhile, action may be taken for possession of these properties by the mutt. The Officer in-Charge of the Mutt will be requested to arrange for taking possession of these properties relating to the mortgage deed referred to.

(6) A copy of letter No.O.C.15/42-43 dated 18th October 1944 and the connected records, received from the Officer in-Charge, are enclosed for reference.

The favour of early orders is solicited.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

5

No. D.e.15/4243
Encl

OFFICE OF THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE,
SRINGERI MUTT & ITS PROPERTIES,

Sringeri, Dated 1th oct or June

From

The Officer-in-Charge,
[illegible]
Sringeri.

To

[illegible],
[illegible], Iere.

Sir,

I have [illegible]

[illegible] dated 21-2-1944 and dated
3-3-1944.
honour. [illegible] letter dated
4-7-1944 and 10-3-1944. } Flag/A.

Mutt, [illegible] of the flood [illegible]
also enclosed [illegible]

[illegible] the matters of the case i[illegible] [illegible]
, who was the Manager-in-Law ([illegible]) [illegible]
manager, [illegible] the honourable [illegible] of the [illegible] Mutt [illegible],
[illegible] Sringeri, during Mutt [illegible]
that his father was also the agent prior to him. During the
management of the latter [illegible]
Mutt properties [illegible]
The matter [illegible] before [illegible]
settled [illegible]
to the [illegible] Mutt, [illegible] allowing [illegible]
from the [illegible] available [illegible]
dated [illegible] 4 for [illegible] of Rs. [illegible]/-
[illegible] of Rs. [illegible]/- in [illegible]
[illegible].

In the mortgage deed executed [illegible]/-
[illegible] under [illegible]
[illegible] one [illegible]

No.

OFFICE OF THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE,
SRINGERI MUTT & ITS PROPERTIES.

Sringeri, Dated

Murrai No. 3943

110-44 Encls w/rs

2. Dated the 7th December 1944

To – The Murrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Sale of Sendamedi Village in Ramnad District, mortgaged to Sri Sringeri Mutt

---

With reference to your letter No M 2-C 388/44-45, dated the 8th November 1944, on the above subject, I am directed to state that, in the circumstances explained, Govt approve of your proposal to sell for Rs 10,000/= the village of Sendamedi mortgaged to Sri Sringeri Mutt, the sale proceeds being secured for the institution

2. The connected records are herewith returned

I have, etc.,

For Gen- Secy to Govt

Pajo 1-10.